UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/092,320	03/06/2002	Jack R. Kries	DP-306837	9018
	7590 04/08/200 INOLOGIES, INC.	EXAMINER		
M/C 480-410-2		WILLIAMS, THOMAS J		
PO BOX 5052 TROY, MI 480	07		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3683	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			04/08/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application No. Applicant(s)							
Office Action Summary			10/092,320		KRIES ET AL.				
			Examiner		Art Unit				
			Thomas J. \		3683				
 Period for	The MAILING DATE of this commun	nication appe	ears on the o	cover sheet with the c	correspondence ad	ddress			
WHICH - Extensi after SI - If NO p - Failure Any rep	RTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F IEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE M ons of time may be available under the provisions X (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this comr eriod for reply is specified above, the maximum st to reply within the set or extended period for reply by received by the Office later than three months patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	MAILING DATES of 37 CFR 1.136 munication. tatutory period will a will, by statute, care	TE OF THIS	S COMMUNICATION b., however, may a reply be tine expire SIX (6) MONTHS from ation to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this of D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status									
1) 5	Responsive to communication(s) file	ed on 19 Feb	bruary 2008	}					
·	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>19 February 2008</u> . This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.								
′=		<i>,</i> —			secution as to the	e merits is			
•	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Dispositio	n of Claims								
4)× C	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-18</u> is/are pending in the application.								
· —	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
·	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u></u> is/are rejected.								
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Claim(s) is/are objected to.								
•	Claim(s) are subject to restric	ction and/or	election red	juirement.					
Applicatio	n Papers								
9)□ TI	ne specification is objected to by th	e Examiner							
•	ne drawing(s) filed on is/are			objected to by the I	Examiner.				
-			•	-					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).									
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.									
Priority un	der 35 U.S.C. § 119								
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.									
2) Notice (3) Informa	s) of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (F ution Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) No(s)/Mail Date	PTO-948)		l)	ate				

Application/Control Number: 10/092,320 Page 2

Art Unit: 3683

DETAILED ACTION

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on February 19, 2008 has been entered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claims 1-5, 7, 14-16 and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by JP 6-307489 to Iwashita.

Re-claims 1-5 and 14-16, Iwashita discloses a powertrain mount, comprising: an upper orifice plate 13 with a plurality of circular fluid passages or holes 13d; a lower orifice plate 12 with a plurality of circular fluid passages or holes 12h; a generally flat and planer diaphragm 10 with an enlarged central node and a periphery spaced from the central node, the central node is disposed generally entirely at a central portion of the diaphragm (defined as the portion of the diaphragm enclosed by projection 10c), the central node is in constant contact with the upper orifice plate 13 and with the lower orifice plate 12, the periphery is spaced from the upper and lower orifice plate (see figure 5) and is free to move between the upper and lower orifice plate.

Re-claims 7 an 18, see orifice track 11.

Application/Control Number: 10/092,320 Page 3

Art Unit: 3683

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- 6. Claims 6, 8-13 and 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Iwashita in view of US 5,104,100 to Simuttis.

Re-claims 6, 8 and 17, Iwashita teach a powertrain mount, comprising: an upper orifice plate 13; a lower orifice plate 12; a generally flat and planer diaphragm 10 with an enlarged central node and a periphery spaced from the central node, the central node is disposed generally entirely at a central portion of the diaphragm (defined as the portion of the diaphragm enclosed by projection 10c), the central node is in constant contact with the upper orifice plate 13 and with the lower orifice plate 12, the periphery is spaced from the upper and lower orifice plate (see figure 5) and is free to move between the upper and lower orifice plate. However, Iwashita fail to teach the periphery including a raised rim.

Simuttis teaches a diaphragm with a freely moving periphery having a raised rim, see

figure 4. This structure provides a desired damping frequency response for the diaphragm. It

would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to have provided the diaphragm

periphery of Iwashita with a raised rim as taught by Simuttis, thus modifying the damping effect

of the diaphragm to a desired damping frequency.

Re-claims 9-12, see circular holes 13d and 12h.

Re-claim 13, see orifice track 11.

Response to Arguments

7. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-18 have been considered but are moot in

view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

Any inquiries concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Thomas Williams whose telephone number is 571-272-7128.

The examiner can normally be reached on Wednesday-Friday from 6:00 AM to 4:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Robert Siconolfi, can be reached at 571-272-7124. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding

should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 571-272-6584.

TJW

/Thomas J. Williams/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3683

April 2, 2008

Application/Control Number: 10/092,320

Page 5

Art Unit: 3683